The Progression of Neurofeedback: An Evolving Paradigm in Addiction Treatment and Relapse Prevention

Abstract
Addiction is characterized by improper engagement of neurobiological systems involved in adaptive decision-making. The prevalence of relapse among addiction patients may be explained by the persistence of maladaptive patterns of synaptic connectivity. An effective approach to addiction treatment and relapse prevention may thus rely on enhancing the brain’s capacity for neuroplasticity and self-regulation. A growing body of evidence indicates that neurofeedback is capable of promoting neuroplasticity, resolving maladaptive activity, and improving self-regulation.
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Introduction
Recognizing addiction as a public health crisis

A recent report published by the Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality found that approximately one in seven Americans is expected to develop a substance use disorder at some point in their lives [1]. In 2015, 20.8 million people aged 12 or older in the United States had a substance abuse disorder, indicating that the number of Americans suffering from addiction is similar to the number of Americans suffering from diabetes [2]. Moreover, the prevalence of substance use disorders is nearly 1.5 times that of all cancers combined [3].

In 2014, over 47,000 people died from a drug overdose. This figure includes nearly 30,000 people who died from an overdose involving prescription drugs, which is more than in any previous year on record. Heroin overdoses have more than tripled between 2010 and 2014 [4]. Alcohol misuse, which contributes to 88,000 deaths per year in the United States, was found to be the nation’s fourth leading preventable cause of death [5,6]. Approximately one in ten deaths among working adults in the United States is caused by alcohol abuse [5]. The health care expenses, lost productivity, and criminal justice costs related to substance abuse are estimated to cost the federal government $442 billion dollars each year [7,8]. In response to this public health crisis, the U.S. Surgeon General published a report declaring that addiction must be approached as a chronic illness, alongside conditions such as heart disease, diabetes, and cancer [9].

Current issues in addiction treatment and relapse prevention
Addiction is characterized by improper engagement of the systems involved in adaptive decision-making [10]. Deficiencies in executive functions such as working memory allow substance use behavior to be guided more strongly by automatic, impulsive processes [11]. Genetic polymorphisms or stress may induce a hypodopaminergic trait/state, predisposing individuals to instinctively seek out substances or behaviors that stimulate dopaminergic activity [12,13]. Substance use may thus engage a vicious cycle, as addictive drugs have been implicated to damage brain regions involved in higher-order functioning, further reducing self-regulatory capabilities [14].

Although traditional methodologies such as counseling, group therapy, and medication have produced some success in treating addiction, relapse rates remain high. Bailey et al. reported that 90% of inpatient opioid detoxification patients relapsed within a year of treatment [15]. A key factor accounting for the likelihood of relapse among addiction patients may be the persistence of aberrant neurobiological changes affecting reward prediction and motivation. It is plausible that traditional therapies may only address maladaptive patterns of synaptic connectivity to a limited extent, preserving some aberrant pathways. In times of stress or anxiety, addicts may abandon rational logic in favor of emotional impulse, activating their fight-or-flight response and potentially prompting reengagement of aberrant neurobiological pathways, whose association with a sense of relief has been preserved. In a sample of cocaine addicts, stress-related increases in craving and associated hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis responses were predictive of relapse outcomes [16]. Although a “magic bullet” cure for addiction continues to evade researchers, an effective approach to addiction treatment and relapse prevention may...
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Discussion

Altered functional connectivity has been postulated to be a key failure mechanism in addiction psychopathology [26]. However, by observing its own dysregulated state via neurofeedback, the brain can be propelled into novel state configurations, which are susceptible to reinforcement and consolidation [24]. Ros et al. [27] observed significant changes in neuronal activity following a session of neurofeedback training, which lasted for over 20 minutes [27]. Addiction patients who underwent neurofeedback training reported experiencing dysphoria when they used a substance following the treatment, indicating that the intervention may have rewired the brain’s response to substance use [23,28]. Neurofeedback training may thus operate by promoting

The emergence of neurofeedback as a recovery resource

Neurofeedback is an emerging treatment modality that involves the use of a brain-computer interface to display a patient’s instantaneous brainwave activity on a monitor. By reflecting electrical activity across targeted brain regions, this procedure generates a “neural mirror,” allowing the brain to observe its own activity.

Neurofeedback was implemented in the early 1970’s, but it was not until the late 1980’s that technological advancements optimized the treatment. During the late 1980’s and early 1990’s, Peniston & Kulkosky [22] developed an innovative neurofeedback protocol that was shown to increase alpha and theta brain wave production, normalize personality measures, prevent increases in beta-endorphin levels, and prolong prevention of relapse among alcoholic patients [22]. Notably, the protocol achieved significant beta-endorphin levels, and prolong prevention of relapse among alcoholic patients [22]. Notably, the protocol achieved significant beta-endorphin levels, and prolong prevention of relapse among alcoholic patients [22].

In 2005, Scott et al. [23] tested the effectiveness of a neurofeedback protocol on a mixed substance abusing population to assess whether or not the breakthroughs achieved with alcoholic patients could extend to abusers of other substances [23]. In comparison to control subjects who underwent traditional therapy, experimental subjects who underwent neurofeedback training in conjunction with traditional therapy were nearly twice as likely to not drop out of treatment. The experimental group showed statistically significant improvement on five of the ten personality scales administered in the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI). Experimental subjects also demonstrated statistically significant improvement on the Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA), which was not observed among control subjects. At the 12-month follow-up, 77% of the experimental subjects were abstinent, compared to 44% of the control subjects. Addictions for all drug types were successfully treated by the same neurofeedback protocol; there was no significant interaction between drug type used and abstinence rate among patients who underwent neurofeedback training. These findings suggest that neurofeedback can be used to target core neurobiological changes underlying the full spectrum of substance use disorders.

In an eight-year analysis (2006-2014) of 5,746 patients, Othmer S & Othmer SF [24] found that two-thirds of patients who initially scored poorly on measures of impulsivity (assessed via a Continuous Performance Test) attained normal functioning within twenty sessions of neurofeedback [24]. Notably, many patients actually scored above functional norms once the therapy was complete. These results can be understood within the framework of an optimum functioning model. According to this model, the brain naturally processes self-relevant information to optimize its functioning. The enhanced cognitive performance observed among these patients may be explained by a mechanism in which the informational network is augmented, improving the brain’s capacity to rewire itself in its innate quest for functional optimization.

Longitudinal follow-up data summarized by Othmer S & Othmer SF [25] showed that addiction patients who underwent neurofeedback training were three times more likely to be abstinent one year after treatment (75% success rate among experimental subjects, in comparison to 25% among control subjects) [25]. A ten-year follow-up clinical evaluation of patients who had undergone the original Peniston & Kulkosky [22] protocol provided additional evidence for the long-term effectiveness of this intervention [22]. These findings may be explained in part by fundamental shifts in regulatory dynamics, as neurofeedback targets right hemispheric brain regions involved in arousal regulation, affect regulation, and autonomic regulation [24].

neuroplasticity, facilitating adjustments in neuronal activity that support optimum functioning. More specifically, neurofeedback has been postulated to activate astrocytic mechanisms that facilitate synaptogenesis and manage network excitability through capillary blood flow [29-37]. Astrocytes function as the principal storage sites of glycogen granules in the central nervous system and may provide neurons with vital energetic substrates [38-44]. Astrocytes also operate as important mediators of neurotoxic events [45]. By influencing astrocytic activity, neurofeedback may enhance the brain’s ability to prune synaptic pathways, improving self-regulation.

A “brain fitness” program that featured neurofeedback reversed effects of mild cognitive impairment (MCI), achieving statistically significant improvements in cognitive function for 84% of patients [46]. Among the sample of 17 patients who underwent a post-program quantitative MRI, 12 patients exhibited either no atrophy or an actual growth in hippocampal volume. These findings support the conclusion that brain training with neurofeedback can improve cognitive function and potentially reverse hippocampal atrophy in patients with MCI. Neurofeedback has also been shown to significantly improve executive functioning and working memory [47,48]. By improving regulatory capabilities and executive functions, neurofeedback may provide a crucial buffer against relapse, which has been linked to impaired executive functions such as working memory [11,49]. In addition, neurofeedback training may promote a more relaxed state and lead to better perceived control of stress, thereby addressing another key factor in relapse prevention [22,50].

Conclusion

Although further research is necessary to elucidate the precise mechanisms by which neurofeedback optimizes brain function, accumulating research shows promise for the application of this emerging technology in the field of addiction medicine.
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